

RE: Docket No. FAA-2000-8274

As an airplane owner, I applaud your efforts to maintain safety over Aerial Demonstrations however you fail to demonstrate where safety is an issue over Sporting Events.

You do state that The FAA has received requests from Major League Baseball officials, Summer/Winter Olympics officials, the Tournament of Roses Football Game committee and others to temporarily restrict aircraft operations over various major sporting events.

This portion of your NPRM IS NOT ABOUT SAFETY. For at least six decades aerial advertising flights have been flown over major spectator events in a most organized manner and (to my knowledge) never has there been injury to a spectator as the result of aerial advertising activities at the event.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires agencies to solicit and consider Flexible Regulatory Proposals and to explain the rationale for their actions. The notice goes on to state that "agencies must perform a review to determine whether a proposed or final rule will have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities".

If the proposed regulatory change is implemented it will in fact, destroy the aerial advertising industry.

As Director of Sales for Aerial Sign Company, my livelihood (as well as many others) is at stake. The proposed rule will also directly and adversely affect those companies (hundreds of thousands of small businesses) who's most efficient means of marketing their potential customers is with the airplane banners. (They can't afford in-stadium advertising)

I am asking that this rule, and the implications it creates be studied further, following established guidelines. Please solicit and consider from the users, flexible regulatory proposals, explain the rationale for your actions, complete the Regulatory Flexibility Analysis and reject the addition of 91.145 as it pertains to Major Sporting events.

Thank you for your consideration

Patricia A. Hoffman
Aerial Sign Co., Inc.
Hollywood, FL