

84066

OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF COUNSEL  
RULES DOCKET

DOT/FAA/AM-91/3 2000 MAY 24 P 12

# Response Capability During Civil Air Carrier Inflight Medical Emergencies

Office of Aviation Medicine  
Washington, D.C. 20591

J.R. Hordinsky  
M.H. George

Civil Aeromedical Institute  
Federal Aviation Administration  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

March 1991

Final Report

This document is available to the public through  
the National Technical Information Service,  
Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

## Foreword

Per Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Section 121.715, Inflight Medical Emergency Reports, effective August 1, 1986, each Part 121 air carrier was required to maintain records on each medical emergency occurring during flight time which resulted in the use of the emergency medical kit, diversion of the aircraft, or death of a passenger or crew member.

These records, or a summary thereof, were to be maintained for a period of 24 months commencing with the effective date of the regulation.

The FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute (CAMI) was requested to provide a medical assessment of these reports for the FAA Office of Flight Standards; the attached report represents the analysis for the first twelve month reporting period: August 1, 1986 through July

31, 1987, and is printed in this format with the concurrence of the Aerospace Medical Association, which has published this material as a journal article.

Although the new regulation governing medical kits remains valid, no formal reporting of use has been required past July 31, 1988. The widest dissemination of the attached report is being effected to solicit individual citizen and industry feedback that can contribute to subsequent improvements in onboard medical emergency capability.

Comments about personal or company experience with the use of existent medical kits may be directed to the authors at the address of record on the Technical Information Documentation Page.

1. Report No. DOT/FAA/AM-91/3		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Response Capability During Civil Air Carrier Inflight Medical Emergencies			5. Report Date March 1991		
			6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Author(s) J. R. Hordinsky and Mark H. George			8. Performing Organization Report No.		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute P. O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
			11. Contract or Grant No.		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Office of Aviation Medicine Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591			13. Type of Report and Period Covered		
			14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstract  <p>Expanded civil aircraft medical emergency kits have been mandated on U.S. carriers since August 1986. Airlines provided the Federal Aviation Agency reports on medical kit usage and outcomes of the associated medical emergencies; 1,016 inflight medical events during the period August 1, 1986, through July 31, 1987, were available for review. Physicians responded to the emergencies in over 63% of the occurrences; the two most prevalent presenting situation were chest pain and syncopal episodes. Nine passengers died on board aircraft, and at least three deaths occurred post-landing. A minimum of 89 of the total cases resulted in flight diversions. The sphygmomanometer (739 cases) and stethoscope (734 cases) were the most frequently used kit items; oropharyngeal airways were utilized in 14 cases. Since standardized reporting formats are not required, evaluation of response capability remains incomplete.</p> <p>Although an additional year of medical emergency kit usage remains to be reported, mandatory reporting of use to the FAA has not been required since July 1988.</p>					
17. Key Words Emergency Medical Care Medical Kits Inflight Health Care Air Lines			18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 10	22. Price

# Response Capability During Civil Air Carrier Inflight Medical Emergencies

## INTRODUCTION

Major revisions in medical emergency kits carried on board commercial air carriers were dictated by regulations promulgated in 1986 (3). To assist the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the airlines in assessing the application of the newly mandated kits (Table I), Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Section 121.715, In-flight Medical Emergency Reports, effective August 1, 1986, required each certificate holder (air carrier) to maintain records on each medical emergency occurring during flight time which resulted in the use of the emergency medical kit, diversion of the aircraft, or death of a passenger or crew member.

The FAA requirements for records of medical emergencies call for a description of how the medical kit was used, by whom, and the outcome of the medical emergency. These records, or a summary thereof, were to be maintained for a period of 24 months commencing with the effective date of the regulation, and were to be submitted to the certificate holder's assigned FAA Principal Operations Inspector within 30 d after the end of each 12-month period during the 24-month surveillance period. The FAA's Office of Flight Standards receives the records from Principal Operations Inspectors, and transmits them to the Civil Aeromedical Institute (CAMI). This technical note represents a medical analysis of reports received for the first 12-month reporting period: August 1, 1986 through July 31, 1987.

The methods used to report medical emergencies vary between certificate holders, ranging from concise computer-generated printouts of reported medical emergencies to copies of reports handwritten by a crew member at the time of the emergency. Although 30 certificate holders specifically reported no inflight medical emergencies, reports citing 1,016 occurrences of inflight medical emergencies from 18 carriers were available for review. However, only six carriers provided individual case reports of incidents which reflected details directly from a provider of the medical care. Nonetheless, the lack of a standard medical annotation form, the diversity of medical backgrounds represented in the provider group, the range of penmanship qualities demon-

strated, and rare conclusive diagnoses all degraded the potential for medical analysis of the events, even in those cases with more "extensive" data.

## Overall Findings

The medical data can be summarized under four broad categories as follows:

a) Of the 1,016 emergencies, 9 involved deaths on board, while 3 (possibly 4) other deaths occurred later at a hospital. All were passenger deaths. There were no reports of crewmember deaths. b) Many of the certificate holders did not acknowledge whether a flight diverted or not after a medical emergency; such reports (299) were treated as "unknown." There were 89 flights documented as diverted and 628 as not diverted. c) Persons using the emergency medical kit are identified in Table II. d) Many of the reports specified the names of the persons who used the medical kit, but not necessarily their titles. Those referred to simply as "Dr." were categorized under "physician." In all probability, many of those included as "unknown" were, in fact, physicians.

Since the reporting requirements permit a wide latitude in content and detail, the data in this study cannot be considered complete, and should not be used for precise statistical analysis or projections. This caution is underlined by the fact that the "unknown" category may reflect either the omission of information in the certificate holder's report, the ambiguity of provided information, or specific acknowledgement that the item was "unknown" to the certificate holder.

## Analysis of Deaths

Of the 12 apparent deaths to which references are made in submitted reports, nine probably occurred in the plane, and three off the plane. A 13th case may have been associated with a death, since CPR was administered, but there is no verification of outcome. Of the 12 deaths (plus the one uncertain outcome), 8 appear to be cardiac in nature; the remaining 5 cases include 3 with unknown details, 1 allergy reaction, and 1 with an apparent serious fall (although the reason for this fall could have been cardiac or neurological in origin).

**TABLE I. EMERGENCY MEDICAL KIT ITEMS  
USED INFLIGHT IN 1,016 APPLICATIONS  
(AUGUST 1986-JULY 1987).**

Kit Item*	Reports of Use	Percentage of Total Kit Applications
Sphygmomanometer	739	73
Stethoscope	734	72
Nitroglycerin Tablets (10)	108	11
Syringes (3) (as necessary for administration)	73	7
Needles (6) (as necessary for administration)	72	7
Diphenhydramine (2 ampules)	35	3
Epinephrine (1:1000, 2 ampules)	26	3
Dextrose (50%, 50cc)	22	2
Oropharyngeal Airways (3 sizes)	14	1
Instructions	0	0
Kit Used, but Items Unspecified	55	5

\* Designations reflect actual specifications of kit content. For kit items with multiple subelements; the reports of use do not permit a determination of exact numbers or sizes of subelements actually deployed.

The medical kit, as used in these 13 cases, was obviously not efficacious, except perhaps for the one CPR case in which the outcome is unknown. A physician was present in 8 of the 13 or 62% of the cases, a registered nurse in 1, and the situation is unknown in the remaining 4. The FAA mortality data shares the problems encountered in a recent International Air Transport Association (IATA) review of (1) in-flight deaths; in both studies no formal, systematic process to define the cause of death is available. Further we are hampered because the data contain little medical history.

In comparison, the IATA data represents an 8-year (1977-1984) history of in-flight death-occurrences among 120 IATA members. Forty-two carriers reported such events, with an average of 72 deaths per year, ranging from a low of 57 (1983) to a high of 96 (1977). Although reporting was voluntary, a 26-year history of encouragement of the reporting process by IATA was acknowledged; furthermore, reporting questionnaires were submitted by the medical directors of the reporting carriers. A wide variety of reporting terms was nonetheless introduced; the author grouped related cases according to broad presumptive diagnostic categories with "seemed to be related to cardiac" registered in 56% of deaths. The assisting medical provider was a physician in 43% of the IATA reported deaths.

#### Categorization of Nonfatal Cases

Categorizing the available data by certain recurring classes of related symptoms, signs, and even specific diagnoses, permits an impression of the magnitude of certain categories of medical problems leading to the use of medical kits. The diverse

**TABLE III. DECREASING PREVALENCE OF  
CATEGORIZED KEY WORDS.**

Count	Category	Key Word
129	L	Pain
123	A	Syncope, Collapsed, Unconscious, Passed Out, Lost Consciousness
95	C	Chest, Chest Pain
62	B	Shortness of Breath, Dyspnea
54	D	Nausea, Vomiting
52	M	Unknown
49	C	Myocardial, Heart, Angina, Ischemic Attack
45	A	Near Syncope, Semiconscious, Faint, Confused
37	A	Seizure, Palsy, Numbness
35	A	Dizziness
33	D	Abdominal
22	B	COPD, Emphysema, Asthma, Pneumothorax
21	L	Hyperventilation, Anxiety, Shaking
21	D	Enteritis, Ulcer, Obstipation, Gastric, Peritonitis, Stomach, Appendix
20	C	Blood Pressure, Hypertension, Hypotension, Vasovagal
18	I	Allergy, Reaction
16	L	Fatigue, Weak, Exhaustion
15	G	Diabetes, Hypoglycemia, Insulin
13	C	Arrhythmia, Bradycardia, Tachycardia
11	A	Drug, Overdose, Alcohol, Inebriation, Poisoning, Tranquilizer
11	H	Injury, Laceration, Wound
9	B	Respiratory, Pulmonary, Breathing, Lung
9	L	Fever
8	H	Nosebleed, Bleeding, Blood
7	J	Motion Sickness, Air Sickness
5	L	Headache, Migraine, Cephalic, Sinusitis, Flu
4	K	Cold, Earache
4	L	Ill
4	F	Kidney, Renal
3	A	Stroke
2	A	Concussion, Oxygen
2	L	Clammy
2	E	Abortion
2	H	Finger
1	D	Esophagus
1	B	Cystic Fibrosis
1	H	Burn
1	H	Eye
1	H	Foot
1	H	Muscle
1	H	Tongue

## REFERENCES

1. Cummins RO, Chapman PJC, Chamberlain DA, Schubach JA, Litwin PE. In-flight Deaths During Commercial Air Travel. *J.A.M.A.* 1988; 259:1983-8
  2. Davies GW, Degotardi PR. Inflight medical facilities. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 1982; 53:694-700
  3. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration. Emergency Medical Equipment Final Rule - 14CFR, Parts 11 and 121. *Fed. Reg.* January 9, 1986.
  4. Hays MB. Physicians and airline medical emergencies. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 1977; 48:468-70.
  5. Mohler SR, Nicogossian A, Margulies RA. Emergency medicine and the airline passenger. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 1980; 51:918-22.
-