



January 19, 1999

53799

**Docket No. FAA-1998-4731-5**

**Notice No. 98-16**

**Comments:**

**Section G36.107 Noise Measurement Procedures**

There is no objection to the proposed change. Using a ground plane microphone provides data which are applicable to both FAA and ICAO certification activities, eliminating duplication of equipment or testing. The additional equipment requirement is negligible.

**Section G36.201 Corrections to Test Results**

**G36.201 (b)**

Object to the proposed change on the basis that it harmonizes in the wrong direction. This section should be placed on the list for JAR 36 harmonization with FAR 36.

If we examine the existing FAA and ICAO noise rules, we find that the only rule which does not have a primary or absolute acoustical reference day defined by 77°F/70%RH is Annex 16, Chapter 10. All of the other noise rules, to include FAR 36 Appendix A, Current Appendix G, Appendix H, Appendix J, ICAO Annex 16 Chapter 3, Chapter 4, and Chapter 8, use 77°F/70%RH as the primary or absolute acoustical reference day.

Based on my experience, first as the Chicago ACO Noise Certification Specialist, and subsequently as an Acoustical DER, I am unaware of an instance of confusion and delay caused by the difference in performance and acoustic reference conditions, as is mentioned in the Notice.

**G36.201 (c)**

There is no objection to the proposed change.

**G36.201(d)(1)**

The proposed change to the equation for atmospheric absorption is indeed more accurate. However, if the comments provided for section 36.20 1 (b) above are adopted, the 0.7 constant in the equation would need to be changed to 0.9, which is

the proper constant for a 77°F/70%RH reference day. The equation currently published in FAR 36, Appendix G is incorrect for the current acoustic reference day, and has been for more than 10 years. The current published equation, using a 0.7 constant, actually corrects to a 59°F/70%RH, resulting in a 0.2 dB error which is detrimental to the applicant.

**G36.201(d)(4)**

There is no objection to the proposed change. The option to determine the value of  $K_3$  experimentally, as is allowed for tipmach corrections, is a welcome addition to the rule.

**Section G36.301 Aircraft Noise Limits**

**G36.301**

There is no objection to the proposed change to the noise limits associated with the use of a ground plane microphone.

There is no objection to the proposed change to the method of calculating noise limits within the variable range. In fact, in some cases in the variable range, a change to log based weights is slightly relieving in nature compared to current appendix G. It is suggested that, to avoid confusion for those of us who are logarithmically challenged, the exact equation for noise levels within the variable range be published in appendix G. Something on the order of  $-26.23+32.76\log(W)$ , where W is the aircraft weight, in pounds, is suggested.

I hope that you find these comments useful. If you require further information or wish to discuss these comments, please feel free to contact me by phone or fax.

Regards,



Richard S. Adler  
Aeromod Services, Inc.  
FAA DER - Acoustics, FAR 21 Management, Flight Analyst