

FAA 98-4458-15

98-4458

46023

Date: 10/23/98 5:13 PM
Sender: vandelgerhuis@iquest.net
To: 9-NPRM-CMTS
CC: fblack@air-transport.org
Priority: Normal
Subject: Comments to Docket #29318

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF COUNSEL
WLESDOCKET

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
DOCKET SECTION

98 NOV 10 PM 4:22

1998 NOV -9 P 2: 21

This is in response to the proposed rule making in Docket #29318 - Prohibition on the transport of Chemical Oxygen Generators as cargo in aircraft.

I am a member of the Air Transport Association's DGB and sub-committee "HAZ-MAT-COMAT Task Force". We are submitting a reply as a group of Industry Airlines from the A.T.A., but I am also submitting my comments on this proposed ruling as an individual airline to further discuss our concerns.

The intent of the proposed ruling for the most part is accurate and we should be concerned about these items-being shipped on passenger aircraft. The problem as we see it is that this should be **reserched** and proposed by DOT-RSPA, not the FAA. This should not be addressed in the **FFR** because it is not concerning the installation of HAZ-MAT on an aircraft. This should be addressed only in 49CFR as the transportation of HAZ-MAT, which would fall under the responsibility of RSPA not FAA.

FAA should cancel this proposed ruling and allow DOT-RSPA to research and decide what rulings should be proposed.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Gary VanJelgerhuis
Manager, Corporate Distribution
American Trans Air, Inc (TZ)